Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/916,847	PETERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Derrington	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) <u>James Derrington</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Eddie Scott.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 June 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: That of record		
Claims discussed: 1-22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summater</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
Jan / Lytin		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/916,847

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that response rendered claims 1-21 allowable. However claim 22 that did not recite " irradiating with a conditioning laser beam of about 360 nm wavelength or less in combination with the amended recitation "to reduce damage associated with absorption" was not allowable. Mr. Scott agreed to cancel claim 22 without prejudice to refiling this claim.